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INTRODUCTION

The first pile-dwelling sites in the northwest of Russia were discovered in 1963 by A.M. Miklyaev (Dolukhanov & Miklyaev, 1986; Dolukhanov et al., 2004). The Neolithic sites of Serteya were found in 1972 during land-improvement work at the small river Serteya, c. 80 km north of Smolensk and about 10 km east of the town of Velizh (left infl ow of West Dvina River). Traces of two cultural layers with archaeological material were discovered at this site, evidencing a North Belarus culture, the first stage of the Zhizhitsian culture and the final stage of the Usvyatian culture. In a trench in the river and in the steep bank, multiple dwellings, planks and other structures were found. The expedition of 1973 proved underwater excavations to be the most effective investigations on these sites (Hookk & Mazurkevich, 2007). In 2007, for the first time magnetometer methods were applied to detect further archaeological structures in the sand on the riverbanks. In 2008, the survey was extended to include susceptibility prospecting on small selected excavation sites.

PROSPECTION METHODS

For magnetometer prospection the Scintrex Smartmag SM 4G-special system in duo-sensor configuration in total-field mode was applied (Becker, 1999). In this configuration, the diurnal variations of the Earth’s magnetic field are reduced to the mean value of calculated data for a 40 × 40 m square. This configuration is very sensitive to artificial and technical disturbances and rapid variations of the Earth’s magnetic field. The Serteya site, however, is located far from any modern civilization and technical disturbances; moreover, sunspot activity was at a minimum in 2007-2008. Magnetic prospection was therefore applied very successfully in the total-field mode without further problems. The advantage of such a configuration is twofold: the full range of magnetic anomalies can be detected and extensive layers of magnetically enriched soil can be made visible as well (see Fig. 1b), the disadvantage being that archaeological structures could be superimposed by geology (Fassbinder, 2007). The magnetic susceptibility prospection was performed by an SM-30 instrument (ZH-Instruments, Czech Republic). The magnetometer results of the research in 2007-2008 revealed layers and zones of high settlement activity, but also postholes and fireplaces of two different archaeological types. The first type – archaeological remnants from small islands in the glacial lakes (Serteya 3, 2, XX) with cultural layers in sandy loam dating from early Neolithic (6000-7000 to beginning of 5000 BC) to late Neolithic (3500-3000 BC). The most interesting spots indicated by the magnetic prospection were later excavated.
At sites (located in the Kame hills on lake Hollow) where the cultural layers consist of sandy loams and loam (Serteya II-V) archaeological excavations, geochemical analysis, geomorphologic data and topographical data are combined to verify the measured anomalies. Numerous small black spots, of a diameter less than 1 m, reveal ancient fireplaces, light gray circles with a dark spot in the center; these are the remains of small temporal houses with fireplaces (Fig. 1a, left). At the site Serteya α (Fig. 1, right) a large magnetic anomaly (see Fig. 1b) was identified. This anomaly revealed ancient cultural layers which turned out to be dominated by midden deposits and fossil topsoil layers from the ancient ground surface. The magnetic picture revealed also two strong magnetic anomalies indicating ancient kilns or burned structures in the cultural layer in the northern part of the site. This area was selected for excavation (see square in Fig. 1b).

**Susceptibility Prospection**

During the excavation the magnetic susceptibility of every layer was measured by a handheld Kappa meter SM-30 (ZH Instruments) with a 20 × 20 cm sampling density. For better understanding and a comparison of results with the magnetic prospection, the susceptibility values are visualized in grayscale (Fig. 2a-c). The diameter of the SM30 pick-up coil is only 5 cm. This explains why the susceptibility of each layer shows such a different value. These measurements permitted the different structures of the cultural layers to be recognized on different levels. This correlates perfectly with the independent periods of habitation in the Bronze and Iron Ages. The remains of an early Iron Age structure were detected on the 35 cm and 45 cm levels (Fig. 2 a, b; 3), the remains of Bronze Age habitations on the 65 cm level (Fig. 2 c). Remains of structures destroyed by fire: clay walls, multiple fragments of burned clay and a clay floor, were found on the latter level, representing perhaps a pile dwelling. The cultural layer’s specifics, dispersion of stones used presumably to fill the postholes, marked by black-colored ring anomalies, prove the hypothesis. There is an anomaly on the spot of the preserved clay floor (Fig. 2 c).

**Conclusion**

By additional measurement of magnetic susceptibility we were able to recognize house extent and structure. A magnetic prospection of this area had revealed only one big spot anomaly, summing up all structures in the cultural layer here. This example demonstrates not only the sensitivity and potential of magnetic methods in general, but also the role of susceptibility measurements in visualizing archaeological structures that cannot be seen with the naked eye.
Figures 2a, 2b and 2c: Susceptibility prospection at the archaeological site Serteya α on three different levels (a 35 cm, b 45 cm, c 65 cm) of the excavation. Magnetic susceptibility meter SM-30 (ZH Instruments, Czech Republic, sensitivity ± 10E-7 SI units, operating frequency 8 kHz), sampling interval 20 × 20 cm.

Figure 3: Serteya α, layer 45 cm, fragments of burned clay from the early Iron Age period.
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