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The 17th Dynasty gold necklace from Qurneh, Egypt

Le collier en or de Qurneh daté de la XVIIe Dynastie, Égypte
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Abstract: In 1908, the archaeologist Flinders Petrie discovered a rich intact burial of an adult and child at Qurneh, near Luxor. Stylistically, the burial has been dated to the late 17th Dynasty, in the 16th century BC. The complete burial group came to Edinburgh in 1909. A recent examination of the rings of the necklace found with the adult burial is presented as part of a wider study of the mummy collections of the National Museums Scotland (NMS). The necklace shows sophisticated workmanship, with 1,699 rings threaded onto four strands, the rings having uniform diameter and thickness, and very few of them showing any visible joints. The necklace rings have been examined by Optical Microscopy, X-radiography, Scanning Electron Microscopy with Energy Dispersive X-ray analysis (SEM-EDS), air-path X-ray Fluorescence (XRF), and proton induced X-ray analysis (micro-PIXE). We summarise these findings and propose the method of manufacture. We also describe an experimental attempt to make joint-less rings in order to compare them with the originals.
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1. Introduction

The NMS Mummy Project

National Museums Scotland (NMS) has extensive Ancient Egypt collections. They were mainly assembled from 1819 until the early 20th century, and include eleven mummies, over sixty (more or less fragmentary) decorated coffins, mummy-boards and masks, and a great number of associated burial goods (Dodson and Manley, 2010). The NMS Mummy Project was initiated in order to raise professional and public understanding about the people whose bodies and belongings had been preserved with such care. Individual mummies and coffins have been examined in a programme of whole body and dental X-radiography, CT-scanning, and material analysis and identification (Eremin et al., 2000; MacLeod et al., 2000; Buckley and Evershed, 2001; Manley et al., 2002).

Perhaps the greatest treasure of the NMS Ancient Egypt collections is the intact burial of an adult and child in a simple pit-grave at Qurneh, near Thebes (modern Luxor), discovered and excavated by Flinders Petrie on 30 December 1908 (Petrie, personal diaries). Petrie sent the entire contents of the burial to the Royal Scottish Museum (a forerunner of NMS) the following year. The grave itself was on the way to the ancient cemetery at the Valley of the Kings, an area containing the tombs of several kings and queens of the 17th Dynasty. The burial was centred on a large anthropomorphic coffin with feathered decoration, painted dark blue, and gilded. The fine decoration on the coffin (generally known as *rishi*, from the Arabic for ‘feathered’، مريشم) was a Theban innovation dating from the 17th Dynasty, i.e. the early or mid-16th century BC (Miniaci, 2007), surpassed only by those of two 17th Dynasty kings discovered near Qurneh. Only the coffins of two Theban queens from the early 18th Dynasty, i.e. the late 16th century BC, are larger. Therefore, dating the coffin to the 16th century BC seems straightforward, and other elements of the burial, such as the ceramics, tend to confirm this.

The *rishi*-coffin contained the mummy of a young adult female, unwrapped by Petrie at the time of discovery and now complete, but disarticulated. The grave goods included furniture, ceramics, food-offerings, a sceptre, an inlaid headrest, a decorated oil-horn, and jewellery. A simpler chest-shaped coffin containing the remains of a young child was also unwrapped by Petrie. The wealth of the burial is evident from the jewellery found with both mummies, which Petrie described as “the largest group of goldwork that had left Egypt” (Petrie, 1932). This wealth is remarkable considering that Egypt was politically divided, and the kings at Thebes had no direct access to the wealthy trade routes of the Mediterranean, nor to the gold-rich mines of Nubia. Even the burials of kings of this period were quite simple. The most obvious explanation is that the occupants were members of the 17th Dynasty royal family at Thebes, which would certainly accord with the location of the tomb at Qurneh (Eremin et al., 2000; Roehrig, 2007).

Nevertheless, the woman’s specific identity remains a mystery, and none of the studies carried out so far have allowed us to establish whether the child and adult woman were related, although that would be what we may expect of a shared burial in Ancient Egypt. We have not yet undertaken DNA analysis of the human remains, but have concentrated on studying the grave goods, particularly the jewellery, to see what these could reveal.

The jewellery is described in Petrie (1909) and Eremin et al. (2000). Both the adult and child had necklaces and earrings: in this study, we concentrate on the adult necklace (NMS A.1909.527.19, Fig. 1a), but refer also to some shared similarities with the child’s earrings (NMS A.1909.527.43).

The Gold Necklace

The style of the necklace is straightforward: a large number of gold rings strung together to form four decorative bands that combine into each side of a clasp (Fig. 1), the two halves of which are made from four groups of eight...
rings fused together. The necklace is closed by fitting the two parts of the clasp tightly together and inserting a short gold pin through the centre of four overlapping gold loops from either side. Only a small number of necklaces of this type and date are known from museum collections (Roehrig, 2007; Binder, 2008).

Petrie noted that the rings were “thick enough not to collapse when squeezed between the fingers” (Petrie, 1909). He measured and weighed them and counted 1,653 rings. A re-count carried out during conservation works in 2006 increased the number to 1,699 (Melville, pers. comm.), possibly by including some of the fused rings from the clasp. There is no record of any additional rings being found and added to the necklace, although Petrie did re-string it because “a very thick pack of fibre filled the rings; so compact that only two rings could be dragged off at a time” (Petrie, 1909).

The rings are full rings, that is to say the vast majority are not open, like many of the rings making up parts of other Egyptian jewellery, including a smaller necklace found with the child burial (NMS A.1909.527.11). Petrie stated that “each has been soldered to join it” (Petrie, 1909), but in fact only a very small number of them show external marks that clearly support this statement.

2. Methods

Technical examination

Optical and SEM imaging using both secondary electron (SEI) and backscattered electron (BSE) detectors were used to examine the surface appearance and details. The SEM was a Camscan MX2500 operated in both high vacuum and controlled pressure mode. We focused on three of the rings removed during conservation, which were placed on a standard SEM stub and imaged directly. The composition was determined using a ThermoNoran Vantage Energy Dispersive (EDS) system (recognising the possibility of slight surface enrichment of gold from the bulk composition).

X-radiography was used under various experimental conditions to look for seams or joints. The three rings were analysed along with two earrings from the child burial and other gold jewellery items by XRF and PIXE (see Troalen et al., 2009). The XRF system is an air-path Oxford ED2000SW instrument configured to provide a collimated X-ray beam of 1.5x2 mm at the object, the precise spot identified by crossed lasers and using XpertEase fundamental parameters analysis calibrated against internal NMS laboratory gold/silver/copper standards (GCS5 and Rolled Gold). The PIXE at C2RMF used 3 MeV protons (Dran et al., 2004) and experimental conditions for gold (given in Guerra and Calligaro, 2004 and Guerra, 2004) calibrated with in-house gold/silver/copper standards Gold 6917 and the same NMS standards.

Methods used to make the rings

The question of how the rings were made was asked before it became possible to remove and examine some of the rings in technical detail. We commissioned experimental reconstructions from a modern goldsmith, reported here along with the subsequent technical examination.

Three methods that could have been used to make such uniform rings are:

- cutting wire segments to uniform lengths, looping into circles and soldering the joints;
- casting in a single or multiple ring mould;
- punching each ring individually.

The first method would require uniform lengths of wire, thin strips cut from a hammered sheet, or short cast-segments. Wire could also be made by strip- or block-twisting (there is no evidence of Egyptian drawn wire, Andrews, 1990), wound round a uniform diameter hard (bronze?) rod, and cut to produce a collection of open rings which could then be joined by diffusion bonding or soldering. For the former, a fine copper-rich powder (possibly with an organic glue) would be applied to a tight joint and strongly heated (Andrews, 1990; Ogden, 2000; Lilyquist, 2003). For the latter, a more open joint could be filled with a lower melting point alloy. Burnishing would hide any visual traces of the joint.

Casting would entail a precision two-part mould or a simpler open mould. More complicated lost-wax casting would entail the initial modelling of the rings in wax prior to encasing them in a clay mould, melting the wax, and filling the negative volume with molten gold alloy. Each method could theoretically produce individual rings or multiple groups of rings. Lost-wax cast rings would have no joint, but there would be a sprue; flash lines at the joints might show from two-part moulds.

Punching could be performed from a flat sheet or flattened blob of gold, drilling or punching a central hole which could be enlarged to produce rings with no joint. There are several images of craftsmen using single and multiple drills to bore holes in stone beads (Andrews, 1990).

1. Thanks to funding from EuArtech.
Before we were able to examine individual rings, we investigated the feasibility of punching and commissioned a modern gold worker (Ms Jacqueline Mina) to make ‘joint-less’ gold rings to look like those from the necklace. She made equal weight gold droplets, flattened them, and then formed a central hole with a series of steel punches, annealing the rings throughout to maintain workability. The intention was feasibility rather than reproduction, and the work used 24-carat gold, steel tools, and a gas flame, rather than more archaic materials.

3. Results

Examination of the necklace

The form of the rings was shown in optical and SEM images to be very regular (Fig. 2). The three rings examined individually measured between 4.2 and 4.5 mm external diameter, 0.8 mm thick, and with a 3.5 mm internal hole.

Each ring has a ‘D’ shaped section, so they were not cut from simple flat strips. The outer surface shows tool marks: fine parallel scratches running around the circumference, strong radial gouges, and areas of overlapping metal at the edges (Fig. 2d). We interpret the gouges as signs of the removal of metal using a very fine pointed chisel (the point around 10 microns across) before hammering the edges of the segment flat and smoothing and polishing. The inner surface of each ring is flat, but less smooth. There are no spiral lines from strip or block twisting, nor hammering marks on the flat inner surface, so the rings were not formed from round-section wire.

There is no evidence of any flashing line on the inner surface, as expected from a two-part mould; instead, the only concentric internal line is slightly recessed. The rings are symmetric, and their regularity is inconsistent with being cast in an open mould. These observations confirm the assumption that they were not cast as complete rings.

The rough central band on the outer surface of the ‘D’ is similar to the inner surface of the ring: we interpret it as part of the original surface of the metal, which was cast as a wire segment into a ‘D’ shaped mould, the outer surface subsequently being worked to a smooth finish prior to looping each segment to form a ring.

However, optical and SEM examination and X-radiography failed to characterise the joints in the majority of the rings on the complete necklace. There are very few open rings, and some with joint-like marks, i.e. the metal is thinner, or thickens into a bulge (e.g., the 4th ring in Fig. 2a). These could be the result of poor casting or damage rather than fabrication.

The joint

The experimental reproduction demonstrated that it is perfectly possible to create joint-less rings, but that these did not match several of the features of the originals. It is evident from the SEM images (Fig. 3, a-f) that the punched rings are less uniform, and that the profile of the inner surface is quite different, having a raised inner ridge. While increased symmetry might come with practice, it seems unlikely that either the regularity or the flat, rather porous looking, inner surface of the originals could be achieved. We do not believe therefore that the rings were made using a punch.

Following the above examination and analysis, the more damaged of the three rings that had been removed was mounted and polished so that the existence or otherwise of a joint could be determined. The polished and etched ring is shown in Figure 4 (a and b), where the form of the joint is clear. The joint was made with added copper-rich solder (see below), the ends of the metal loop having been thinned to maintain the same thickness as the rest of the ring. The grain structure of the ring itself suggests working and annealing, while the solder shows a dendritic structure, similar to that shown in Scott and Doehne (1990).

The thickness, structure and composition of the joint confirm that it is the result of an added solder, not diffusion.
bonding. This use of hard soldering with addition of a distinct metal alloy is considered less common than diffusion bonding, but has been found occasionally on pieces from the ancient world (Lilyquist, 2003). Plotting the compositions on the Au-Ag-Cu ternary liquidus diagram provided by Scott and Doehne (1990) indicates a melting temperature of c. 950 °C for the solder, as opposed to just over 1000 °C for the ring, demonstrating a rigorous control of the temperatures during soldering.

Comparison with other necklaces

While there are many Egyptian gold necklaces from this period containing ring beads (as illustrated in Lilyquist, 2003), most appear to be square sectioned, often with quite clear (and open) joints. We have examined only two other necklaces of the 17th-18th Dynasty; one at the Metropolitan Museum, New York (Object 16.10.314) and one at the British Museum, London (inventory number EA 14693). Binder (2008) also refers to these necklaces and their distinct characteristics of the shebu-type. We are grateful for technical and analytical information regarding the necklaces in the Metropolitan and British Museum to Mark Wypyski and Deborah Schorsch from the Metropolitan Museum, and Nigel Meeks from the British Museum.

The Metropolitan necklace is 335 mm long, with approximately 450 ring beads, each 6 mm in diameter (Roehrig 2007). There are hemispherical end-caps, but no clasp. The ring widths vary, and clear joints are present, either square or chamfered. Some of the jointed areas appear as swellings, presumably where there is excessive solder or the metal has begun to melt (Fig. 6). The SEM-EDS analysis shows that the joints have a higher copper content than the rings (4%, as compared to around 2%). The rings have visible platinum group inclusions, but have a higher silver content than those from Qurneh (see below). The SEM examination shows some tool marks and a line around the circumference similar to the Qurneh rings, but the ‘D’ shaped cross-section of the outer side of the rings is less triangular.

The British Museum necklace has very finely finished rings with square joints. The clasp has a closing mechanism very similar to that from Qurneh. The rings lack visible PGE group inclusions and are of higher purity gold than those from Qurneh. There were no visible working marks or surface features similar to those seen on the other two necklaces.

Compositional analysis

The results of each of the experimental methods (Table 1) show that the Qurneh necklace, with its high percentage of gold (86-88%), silver (10-12%), and copper (2%), is in all probability made of alluvial gold. This is supported by the presence of inclusions of osmium-iridium-ruthenium (PGE group elements, Meeks and Tite, 1980; Ogden, 1976) on many of the rings (as well as on other pieces from the burial, see Troalen et al., 2009).

A concern with any analysis of ancient gold is the extent of surface change, either deliberate or from corrosion during burial, a problem noted by Lilyquist (2003) for Egyptian
黄金。抛光部分的颈环允许我们直接比较表面-和核心-黄金组成，使用SEM-EDS。小的组成变化被发现，但核心金属的组成与PIXE和XRF结果（见表1）非常接近。因此，虽然可能有一些表面变化，但这些变化只影响SEM-EDS分析，而XRF和PIXE数据基本上与原始组成对应。

图5比较了Qurneh金与Lilyquist（2003）中刊载的‘疑似古代’材料，以及来自大都会艺术博物馆收藏的项链（16.10.314）2和来自大都会艺术博物馆和大英博物馆的初步SEM-EDS表面分析数据3。用于成年者项链和Qurneh埋葬的其他物品（见Troalen et al., 2009）的合金明显含有更多的黄金，同时银的含量较低。与Lilyquist（2003）刊载的大部分比较物件相比。

最后，我们比较了成年者的项链环与儿童埋葬的珠宝。儿童的项链显著不同于成年者的，与Lilyquist（2003）中刊载的小环形珠链类似；环面较小，宽度不均，有开口关节；它们显然是将金属条卷成环面。它们的组成也与成年者的项链明显不同，具有较高的但变化的银含量（见Troalen et al., 2009）。相比之下，儿童的耳环与成年者的项链更为相似，呈‘D’型截面，有辐射工具标记和一个平坦的内表面。合金也相似，但铜和银含量略高（见表1）。没有结论性的，这一方面可能支持两个埋葬之间的关联。

2. Thanks to Mark Wypyski and Deborah Schorsch.
3. Thanks to Nigel Meeks.
4. Conclusions

The rings of the necklace from the adult burial at Qurneh were most probably made from individual fragments of gold from an alluvial source cast into a ‘D’ shaped wire segments in an open mould, formed into rings, and joined using a metallic solder. Comparison of the surface and polished section of one ring confirmed the composition of the gold and provided clear evidence indicating that the surface composition of the necklace determined by PIXE and XRF is close to that of the bulk metal. Finally, SEM examination and PIXE analysis of the two damaged earrings from the child’s burial have shown that these are similar in form and composition to the adult’s necklace, adding to the likelihood that the two burials are associated.

Acknowledgements

NMS colleagues, especially Lesley-Ann Liddiard, for making the objects available; Ms Jacqueline Mina for the reproduction experiments; colleagues at the British Museum: Catherine Higgitt, Susan La Niece, Duncan Hook and Nigel Meeks, and at the Metropolitan Museum: Catharine Roehrig, Deborah Schorsch and Mark Wypyski, for making their results available; Thierry Borel at C2RMF for radiography and EuArtech for funding for the PIXE analysis. David Scott is acknowledged for metallurgical advice, as are the referees for their helpful comments.

References


Table 1: Summary of compositional data from different techniques for the three adult necklace rings and the two child earrings (sd is the standard deviation from a number of separate analyses and does not include calibration estimates or counting errors).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Piece</th>
<th>Cu (wt%)</th>
<th>Au (wt%)</th>
<th>Ag (wt%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult necklace rings 1-3: surface</td>
<td>XRF</td>
<td>1.6 sd 0.1</td>
<td>86.0 sd 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult necklace rings 1-3: surface</td>
<td>PIXE</td>
<td>1.8 sd 0.2</td>
<td>87.8 sd 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child earring A</td>
<td>PIXE</td>
<td>2.9 sd 0.4</td>
<td>82.5 sd 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child earring B</td>
<td>PIXE</td>
<td>2.1 sd 0.4</td>
<td>83.7 sd 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult rings 1&amp;2: surface</td>
<td>SEM-EDS</td>
<td>1.1 sd 0.4</td>
<td>91.7 sd 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult ring 3: polished section</td>
<td>SEM-EDS</td>
<td>2.4 sd 0.2</td>
<td>85.5 sd 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult ring 3: polished solder</td>
<td>SEM-EDS</td>
<td>8.2 sd 0.3</td>
<td>80.4 sd 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult ring 3, area near joint</td>
<td>PIXE</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>86.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


